

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,614	07/11/2003	Viacheslav Ivanovych Adamchuk	16363-US	2903
7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Williams M. Dixon			DAVIS, OCTAVIA L	
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One John Deere Place			2855	
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H·IA					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/617,614	ADAMCHUK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Octavia Davis	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/6	V04.						
	s action is non-final.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		!					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 3 and 7-10 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	0	(/DTO 412)					
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jensen et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Jensen et al disclose a depth control device for a planting implement comprising a soil engaging implement 10, load cells 51 mounted to the soil engaging implement and strain gauges 41 mounted to the soil engaging implement in a bridge type configuration (See Cols. 4 and 9, lines 52 – 60 and 1 - 54, See Figs. 5 and 6).

Regarding claim 5, the strain gauges 41 are mounted to the soil engaging implement at different depths (See Col. 9, lines 23 - 33).

Regarding claim 6, the load cells 51 are used to determine a linear trend of topsoil resistance pressure change with depth as the soil engaging implement is drawn through the soil (See Col. 9, lines 39 – 54).

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen et al 4. in view of Wattonville et al.

Regarding claim 2, Jensen et al disclose all the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the soil engaging implement has an upper end mounted to a support structure, a lower end, a point for engaging the soil mounted to the lower end, a leading edge, and a protective shin mounted to the leading edge. However, Wattonville et al disclose a wear shin for a shank of a tillage tool comprising an upper end 234, a lower end 242, 286, a point 240, a leading edge 252 and a protective shin 250 (See Figs. 5 and 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jensen et al according to the teachings of Wattonville et al for the purpose of, providing an improved wear shin for a tillage implement that has better trash and soil flow characteristics (See Wattonville et al, Col. 1, lines 63 - 67).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3 and 7 – 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 is allowed.

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to these claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Upadhyaya et al (6,834,550) disclose soil profile force measurement using an instrumented tine.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Octavia Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursdays (9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 - 9306.



OD/2855

1/31/05

MAX NOOR! PRIMARY EXAMINER